

ISAP WG2

Meeting and Workshop on Cold Recycling including RA 11 September 2011

Foamed Bitumen Stabilisation

A review of the design, application, research and characterisation in New Zealand Thorsten Frobel – Fulton Hogan

FBS in New Zealand – An Overview



An Overview of FBS in New Zealand

- Historical FBS site and performance
- Typical Applications Rehabilitation
- Recent acceptance by NZTA "Green Fields"
 - Pavement Design NZ Supplement to APDG
 - CAPTIF Research
 - Draft NZTA T/19 New Mix Design Spec

Typical Rehabilitation Applications



Typical Applications of FBS in New Zealand:

SH - PSMCs

SH - Hybrid Maintenance contracts

> Heavily trafficked urban arterial roads for various City Councils (DUSTLESS addition of cement)

Steady growth in rehabs: 2004: 30,000 m² – trials 2010: 400,000 m² - tendered

> Auckland Motorway Alliance

at Hagan **SQF Bity Ground**

Alpine SH with freeze / thaw distress



East Taupo Arterial:

Length:	16 km
Area:	180,000 m ²
Construction:	Jan'10 – Sep'10
Opened:	8 th October 2010
Design Load:	10 x 10 ⁶ DESA



150 FBS AP40 BC	800 MPa
100 UBG AP40 SB	250 MPa
600 Recompacted Pumice (SSG)	50 to 150 MPa
∞ Pumice SG	30 MPa

Greenfields projects using FBS pavements

Fulton Hogan

Christchurch Southern
Motorway:Length:12 kmArea:125,000 m²Construction:2011 – 2012Design Load:15 x 106 DESA





Tauranga Eastern Link:Length:22 kmArea:220,000 m²

Construction: 2012 - 2013Design Load: 12×10^6 DESA





New Zealand Supplement (2007) to the APDG (2004) gives guidance for the pavement designer:

- Two phases: "Seating-in" and "Steady State"
- Only design for the "Steady State" with the following parameters:
 - Elastic modulus in the order of 800 MPa,
 - Poisson's ratio = 0.3,
 - Anisotropic layer,
 - No sub-layering
- Limit cementitous binders to prevent cracking
- Designers to seek assistance from industry for mix design and layer thickness analysis





May 2004 – Before FBS



June 2011 – 7 years after FBS

SH 26 – Kurere Stream Road – First Foamed Bitumen Project in NZ



Testpit 1: Ch. 200	Testpit 2:	Ch. 260	Testpit 3:	Ch. 470	FBS pav	vement
30 Seal 50 AP 40 Base 30 Old seal 110 Bituminous bound base Clay subgrade	35 Seal 240 AP 50 65 GAP 40 80 Old seal Clay subgrade		30 Seal ¹⁷⁰ AP 40 Base 60 Old seal Clay subgrade		35 Seal layer 150 FBS base variable layers	

Test pits - variable pavement structure before and after FBS





Back calculated Stiffness for the past 4 years





HSD – Rutting over the last 6 years



Section	Design Parameter	Constructed Parameter	Designation
А	1.5 % Foam Bitumen,	1.2 % Foam Bitumen,	B12C10
	1% Cement	1% Cement	
В	2.7 % Foam Bitumen,	1.4 % Foam Bitumen,	B14C10
	1% Cement	1% Cement	
С	4 % Foam Bitumen,	2.8 % Foam Bitumen,	B28C10
	1% Cement	1% Cement	
D	1% Cement	1% Cement	B00C10
E	Unbound (No Binder)	Unbound (No Binder)	B00C00
F	2.7 % Foam Bitumen	2.2 % Foam Bitumen	B22C00











RLTT used for research and major Greenfields projects

Interaction between Pavement Design and Laboratory Mix Design Testing













Historical Mix Design / Reactivity Status

UCS:

Variance in Diameter to Height ratio: Variance in compactive effort: Variance in scalped Stone size:

0.7 to 2.0 Standard, Heavy & Vibe Hammer 19mm to 37.5mm

<u>ITS:</u>

Variance in Diameter to Height ratio: Variance in compactive effort: Variance in scalped Aggregate size:

1.3 to 1.7 Marshall & Gyratory 19mm to 26.5mm

State of the nation:

Different strength tests being used all over NZ for C, L & FB Draft NZTA T/19 aims at standardising this to give pavement engineers a clearer understanding of the test results.



UCS and ITS





UCS and ITS: Testing similar parameters

NPTG discussion of way forward:

- If you want to test 37.5mm aggregate then require 150 dia.
- If you want a H/dia ratio of 2 then require 150dia x 300 high sample!!!!
- You want to have 3 to 4 points this would requires a lot of sample

Discussion and Decisions by NPTG



- Same test for all stabilised materials (C, L & FB)
- ITS test is specified instead of UCS testing as we found that it is more sensitive to changes in aggregate, binder content and moisture
- Initially up to 37.5 mm to use all the aggregate this has recently been changed to scalping at 26.0 mm
- 4 150 mm dia. x 126 mm height mould (std. CBR mould)
- Vibe hammer compaction all labs in NZ have this
- Typical addition of Stabilising Agents:
 - 1.0 2.5 % Cement / Lime for modified layers
 - 4.0 6.0 % Cement for strongly bound subbase layers
 - 1.0 & 2.0 % Cement or Lime + 2.5 & 3.5 % FB
 (e.g. 1C/L+2.5FB; 1C/L+3.5FB; 2C/L+2.5FB; 2C/L+3.5FB)



Specification for the Mix Design Testing of Modified and Bound Pavement Layers

2. Aggregate Preparation and Testing:

- Blend sample according to the depths as required by the pavement design instructed by the pavement engineer
- Particle size distribution NZS 4407 : Test 3.8.1
- Plasticity Index NZS 4407 : Test 3.4
- If required, correct PSD and/or PI
- WC / MDD using NZS 4402: Test 4.1.3 (NZ Vibe Hammer)



- Mix Design for Cement / Lime Stabilised Aggregates
 Mix Design for Foamed Bitumen Stabilised Aggregates
- **4** Same procedure except for mixing portion
- Binder contents directed by Pavement Engineer
- Compaction: NZS 4402, Test 4.1.3 NZ Vibe Hammer
- **Guring:**
 - Cover with damp cloth for 20 hours curing in mould, then extract
 - ♣ Dry ITS: 72 hrs @ 40 °C (sealed bag) + 24 hrs @ 21 °C in Air
 - Soaked ITS: 72 hrs @ 40 °C (sealed bag) + 24 hrs @ 21 °C in Water
- Strength test: ITS with strain measurement
- Tensile Strength Retained = Soaked ITS / Dry ITS



5. Determination of Indirect Tensile Strength (ITS)

- Compression Testing Machine capable of 1mm / min
- Measure vertical deformation
- Plot ITS vs Strain to assess mode of breakage





5. ITS vs vertical deformation





5. ITS vs vertical deformation



4% Cement Bound Subbase Aggregate



5. ITS vs vertical deformation



4% Cement Bound Subbase Aggregate



	Draft ITS Boundaries			
	Dry (kPa)	Soaked (kPa)		
Modified	< 600	< 500		
Bound	> 1000	> 800		
FBS	< 600	< 500		

- For all types of stabilisation try to keep out of the 600 to 1000 kPa zone
- These values will be refined over the next few years as more actual pavement performance data vs mix design strength is gathered and analysed

Draft Interpretation of Mix Design Results Fulton Hogan

Identifying Moisture Sensitive Mixes

Tensile Strength Ratio, TSR = (Soaked ITS / Dry ITS) * 100%

	Tensile Strength Ratio (TSR)			
Terrain type and drainage	Dry (Rainfall < 600 mm / annum)	Moderate (Rainfall between 600 and 1000 mm/annum)	Wet (Rainfall > 1000 mm / annum)	
Rolling (well drained)	> 50 %	> 60 %	> 70 %	
Flat (poorly drained)	> 60 %	> 65 %	> 75 %	

Source: Wirtgen Cold recycling Manual

